LINDSELL TRAIN

Impending Global Profit Boom

January 2016

According to the Bloomberg M&A tracker 2015 was the biggest year ever for global deal-making. There was over \$5.6 trillion of deals in 2015, struck at an average premium of 24%. That total was up 27% on 2014 and more than 16% over the previous peak year of 2007. So far in 2016 there have already been c2,200 announced transactions, amounting to \$180bn. Meanwhile, it is commonly held that equity markets are expensive and afflicted by numerous macro-economic problems. BUT SOMEONE SEEMS TO HAVE FORGOTTEN TO TELL COMPANIES THEY SHOULD BE WORRIED.

We think it is important to listen to what Business is saying about its opportunities for growth and the strategic values it sees in stock markets and to ignore the macro-pessimists. Companies clearly think there is plenty to do and plenty to go for in markets. And according to Willis Towers Watson's analysis of 2015's deal activity they are correct - acquirers closing deals last year outperformed the MSCI World Index by over 10%. In other words and critically – not only do companies self-evidently see value in stock markets, their investors are willing to reward them for getting on with it.

That last point is important because it challenges the longstanding and widespread view that M&A routinely destroys value for acquirers and that, therefore, takeovers represent little more than ego-driven megalomania. Even Buffett appears to endorse this, with his memorable parable of a mythical CEO pitching a dubious deal to his board and concluding with this plea – "Aw fellas – all the other kids have got one!" But I have become increasingly unsure about this received wisdom. Myriad academic studies – trawling through the outcomes of thousands of global transactions and often arriving at contradictory conclusions about the efficacy of deals overall – can never answer the critical counter-factual question. What would have happened if the deal had never been done? Companies often act from positions of relative weakness or when under threat. Even if a given deal can be shown to have destroyed value, who is to say that the value loss may not have been worse if the company had soldiered on alone? I suspect M&A is much more accretive to corporations than the cynics suggest.

Instead – from a historical perspective – it is clear that successive waves of M&A have been instrumental in the propagation of more successful corporate cultures or more advanced technologies. The stronger and smarter assimilate the weaker or more backward. Takeovers are the means whereby "creative destruction" is actually delivered. For instance we know the profit margin uplift achieved by 3G and related parties after the takeovers of Anheuser Busch, Heinz (18% to 27% in two years here) and now Kraft has electrified industry participants – raising the bar for other quoted brand owners, or certainly those who wish to maintain their independence.

So the fact is corporations know there are huge profitability improvements to be wrung out of existing and combined business operations in 2016 and beyond. A recurrent theme at our meetings with companies is how much more they have still to gain from "Zero-based budgeting" and productivity to be derived from technology. In our portfolios we think particularly of recent developments at Mondelez and Unilever. For Mondelez the appearance of an activist investor on its share register has undoubtedly accelerated the pace of its rationalisation and Unilever's recent results revealed the benefits of its application of ZBB to cash flow and returns on invested capital. In addition, when you factor in the collapse of energy and raw material prices – Oil already at its lowest inflation-adjusted price ever, according to Bloomberg – you have the basis for big positive earnings surprises as 2016 progresses. This combination of cheaper input costs and M&A-derived savings points to an as yet unanticipated profit boom. And if that cheaper energy ever feeds through to improving consumer confidence and spending, well...

There was a headline in the Times on the 12th January – "Investors fear \$20 Oil". All we can say is – bring it on.

Over the holidays I read futurologist Ray Kurzweil's 2004 book "The Singularity is Near". Over a decade ago he was dismissing "outdated economic models that emphasize energy costs, commodity prices and capital investment", while ignoring the "computational capacity, memory bandwidth...and intellectual property" that are, in his opinion, the real drivers of growth in

the C21st. In 2016 investor worries about a fall in a commodity price are, on this analysis, backward-looking. Fortunately companies don't appear to be making the same mistake.

Nick Train, Portfolio Manager Lindsell Train Ltd

Source: Lindsell Train & Bloomberg

Risk Warning

This document is provided for information purposes only and is intended solely for use by professional investors and advisors. Specifically, it is not intended for, and is not suitable for, those who would be categorised as Retail Clients, and it should not be relied upon by private investors.

Past performance is not a guide or guarantee to future performance. Investments are subject to risks and may also be affected by exchange rate variations. The investment value and income may go down as well as up. Investors may not get back the amount they originally invested.

© 2024 Morningstar, Inc. All rights reserved. The information contained herein: (1) is proprietary to Morningstar and/or its content providers; (2) may not be copied or distributed; and (3) is not warranted to be accurate, complete, or timely. Neither Morningstar nor its content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from any use of this information.

The MSCI information may only be used for your internal use, may not be reproduced or redisseminated in any form and may not be used as a basis for or a component of any financial instruments or products or indices. None of the MSCI information is intended to constitute investment advice or a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such. Historical data and analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance analysis, forecast or prediction. The MSCI information is provided on an "as is" basis and the user of this information assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. MSCI, each of its affiliates and each other person involved in or related to compiling, computing or creating any MSCI information (collectively, the "MSCI Parties") expressly disclaims all warranties (including, without limitation, any warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, timeliness, non-infringement, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose) with respect to this information. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall any MSCI Party have any liability for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, punitive, consequential (including, without limitation, lost profits) or any other damages. (www.msci.com).

"FTSE ®" is a trademark jointly owned by the London Stock Exchange Plc and The Financial Times Limited and is used by FTSE under licence. "All Share" is a trademark of FTSE. FTSE does not sponsor, endorse or promote the content of this communication.

Opinions expressed whether in general or both on the performance of individual securities or funds and in a wider economic context represents the view of the fund manager at the time of preparation and may be subject to change without notice. It should not be interpreted as giving investment advice or an investment recommendation. No part of this document may be copied, reproduced or distributed to any other person without prior express written permission from Lindsell Train Limited.

Copyright Lindsell Train 2024. LTL 000-172-9 28 January 2016

Lindsell Train Limited 66 Buckingham Gate London SW1E 6AU UNITED KINGDOM

Tel. 020 7808 1210 Fax. 020 7808 1229 www.LindsellTrain.comInfo@lindselltrain.com

Please refer to Lindsell Train's Glossary of Investment terms <u>here</u>.